Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 5/2/11

arXiv:1409.0539v1 [astro-ph.CO] 1 Sep 2014

UP TO 100 000 RELIABLE STRONG GRAVITATIONAL LENSES IN FUTURE DARK ENERGY EXPERIMENTS

S. Serjeant
Department of Physical Sciences, The Open University, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, UK

ABSTRACT

The *Euclid* space telescope will observe $\sim 10^5$ strong galaxy-galaxy gravitational lens events in its wide field imaging survey over around half the sky, but identifying the gravitational lenses from their observed morphologies requires solving the difficult problem of reliably separating the lensed sources from contaminant populations, such as tidal tails, as well as presenting challenges for spectroscopic follow-up redshift campaigns. Here I present alternative selection techniques for strong gravitational lenses in both *Euclid* and the Square Kilometer Array, exploiting the strong magnification bias present in the steep end of the Hα luminosity function and HI mass function. Around 10^3 strong lensing events are detectable with this method in the *Euclid* wide survey. While only $\sim 1\%$ of the total haul of *Euclid* lenses, this sample has $\sim 100\%$ reliability, known source redshifts, high signal-to-noise and a magnification-based selection independent of assumptions of lens morphology. With the proposed Square Kilometer Array dark energy survey, the numbers of reliable strong gravitational lenses with source redshifts can reach 10^5.

Subject headings: cosmology: observations — galaxies: evolution — galaxies: formation — galaxies: starburst — infrared: galaxies — submillimeter: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

The next generation of optical/near-infrared survey space telescopes, such as the *Euclid* 15 000 deg^2 wide survey and the *WFIRST* 2000 deg^2 high latitude survey (Laureijs et al. 2011, Spergel et al. 2013), aim to make pioneering constraints on the evolving equation of state of dark energy. Their slitless Hα spectroscopy surveys use Baryonic Acoustic Oscillations to provide a cosmological standard ruler while the imaging surveys provide weak lensing constraints on dark energy. The full Square Kilometer Array (SKA) has also been proposed to be used to make comparable constraints on dark energy (e.g. Abdalla et al. 2010), through a hemispheric HI redshift survey. In the process, every one of these dark energy experiments will also create huge legacy data sets that will benefit many areas of astronomy: e.g. 5σ spectroscopic depths of 4.3×10^{-16} ergs cm^{-1} s^{-1} and 0.36×10^{-16} ergs cm^{-1} s^{-1} for *Euclid* and *WFIRST* respectively, and 5σ point source depths of $Y = J = H = 24$ for *Euclid* and $Y = H = 26.7$, $J = 26.9$ for *WFIRST*.

Strong gravitational lensing particularly benefits from *Euclid*'s 1.2m diffraction-limited optical/near-infrared imaging over around half the sky, with $\sim 10^5$ galaxy-galaxy lensing events predicted in the 15 000 deg^2 wide survey (Laureijs et al. 2011). This is many orders of magnitude of improvement in the numbers of lenses. Many applications of strong gravitational lensing are limited by sample size (e.g. Treu 2010), such as dark matter halo substructure (e.g. Dalal & Kochanek 2002), halo density profiles (e.g. Gavazzi et al. 2007, 2008), cosmological parameters (e.g. Short et al. 2012, Gavazzi et al. 2008, but see Schneider 2014), and extreme high magnification systems (e.g. Wang & Turner 1996).

However, identifying these systems with high reliability and completeness is not without its difficulties. In a heroic effort, Jackson (2008) visually examined 285 423 galaxies with $I < 25$ in the Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS). He found two certain new lenses, one probable candidate and a further 112 candidates, to add to the then-known 20 COSMOS lenses and 47 candidates. False positives could be due, for example, to chance lensing-like locations of HII regions in a galaxy, or tidal tails resembling lensed arcs. Visual inspection of larger data sets is a much more challenging proposition. This problem has stimulated both the development of arc-finder algorithms in multi-wavelength imaging data (e.g. Gavazzi et al. 2014) and the creation the *Spacewarps* mass participation citizen science experiment[1].

In this paper I present alternative methods of identifying strong gravitational lens events, by exploiting the strong magnification bias in the high-luminosity end of the Hα luminosity function and the high-mass end of the HI mass function. This paper follows the unfashionably conventional format of introduction, method, results, discussion and conclusions in sections 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively. This paper assumes a Hubble constant of $H_0 = 70\,\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}\,Mpc^{-1}} = 100h\,\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}\,Mpc^{-1}}$ and density parameters of $\Omega_\mathrm{M} = 0.3$ and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ throughout.

2. METHOD

In the presence of very steep number counts or luminosity functions, a strong magnification bias can operate. The low probability of galaxy-galaxy lensing producing an apparently-bright lensed galaxy can be more than compensated for by the presence of a very large population of faint candidate background lensed sources. If the slope of the differential numbers steepens at progressively brighter fluxes or luminosities, then the fraction of lensed sources also progressively increases.

This method of selecting strong gravitational lenses has a successful track record. Negrello et al. (2010) used this technique to select a sample of strong lenses using simply a 500 μm flux limit of $S_{500\,\mu\mathrm{m}} \geq 100$ mJy, exploiting

[1] http://spacewarps.org



Figure 1. Hα luminosity function from Geach et al. 2010 (black line) at redshifts $z = 0.3$, 1.0 and 1.7, the singular isothermal sphere lenses of Perrotta et al. 2002 and Perrotta et al. 2003 (pink), and with a non-evolving population of lenses normalised to the Perrotta predictions at an arbitrarily chosen $z = 1$. The hatched regions span the range of maximum magnification $\mu \leq 10$ and $\mu \leq 30$. The vertical lines show the fiducial 5σ flux limit of the *Euclid* wide-field survey (Laureijs et al. 2011). Note that at $> 12L_*$ the observed population is dominated entirely by strong gravitational lens systems.



Figure 2. HI mass function following Abdalla et al. (2010) model "C" at redshifts of $z = 0.5$, 1.0 and 2.0. Lensing models as in Fig. 1. The vertical lines show the fiducial flux limit of the proposed full *SKA* dark energy survey from Abdalla et al. (2010), assuming a sensitivity parameter $f = 1$ (see Abdalla et al. 2010 equation 2), 30 km s^{-1} channels and a 100 deg^2 field of view. The dashed curve shows the evolving semi-analytic predictions of Lagos et al. (2011), for comparison. Similarly to Fig. 1, note that at $> 12M_*$ the observed population is dominated entirely by strong gravitational lens systems.

the steep slope of submm number counts. Half of the selection were contaminant (non-lensed) local galaxies and blazars, but these were easily identifiable in supplementary optical and radio survey data, leaving a sample with an ostensible $\sim$ 100% reliability that the authors confirmed with a wide range of spectroscopic and imaging follow-ups (see also e.g. Viera et al. 2013, Bussmann et al. 2013). González-Nuevo et al. (2012) extended this methodology to the high-luminosity end of the submm luminosity function (estimated using submm photometric redshifts), and in the process expanded the number of gravitational lens candidates in *Herschel* surveys from $\sim$ 100 with a bright flux limit to $\sim$ 1000 with a bright luminosity limit in the Herschel *ATLAS* project (Eales et al. 2010). After removing known contaminants the reliability of this strong gravitational lens sample was estimated to be $\sim$ 70%, sufficient to warrant extensive follow-up campaigns (e.g. Amber et al. in prep.) that could yield constraints on cosmological parameters (e.g. Short et al. 2012), constraints on the evolution of dark matter halo properties, and/or constraints on the background lensed galaxy population (e.g. Eales 2014).

The Hα and far-infrared luminosities of star-forming galaxies have in common that they are dominated by the luminous output of massive stars, which excites nebular emission in HII regions in the case of Hα, and which is absorbed by dust and re-emitted as grey-body radiation in the case of the far-infrared (e.g. Condon 1992 et seq.). Both Hα and far-infrared luminosities are widely used as star formation rate estimators, among other indicators. However, the Hα and far-infrared luminosities have different dependencies on star formation rate: at high star formation rates, a greater proportion of star formation is present in giant molecular cloud complexes, so the Hα luminosity will tend to plateau as star formation rate increases while the far-infrared continues to increase (e.g. Flores et al. 2004, Swinbank et al. 2004, Calzetti et al. 2010). Balmer lines are inevitably dominated by low-extinction regions (e.g. Serjeant et al. 2002), so even Balmer decrement-corrected Hα luminosities will underpredict the total star formation rate in the most extreme starbursts. Unless the extinction is accounted for empirically (e.g. Calzetti et al. 2010), naive interpretations of Hα luminosities consistently under-predict star formation rates for the most luminous starbursts at all redshifts (e.g. Sedgwick et al. 2013). For example, the Hα luminosities of hyperluminous infrared galaxies ($> 10^{13} L_\odot$) are not $\sim 100\times$ brighter than those of luminous infrared

galaxies ($> 10^{11} L_{\odot}$). Therefore, extreme Hα-emitters should be rarer than extreme far-infrared emitters.

For this reason, it is reasonable to expect the Hα luminosity function to have a bright-end slope that is steeper than its far-infrared counterpart (e.g. Lapi et al. 2011, Marchetti et al. in prep.). The latter has already been demonstrated to facilitate strong gravitational lens selection (e.g. González-Nuevo et al. 2012), so one would expect the bright end of the Hα luminosity function to be similarly useful. This paper adopts the Hα luminosity function determination of Geach et al. (2010) at redshifts $0 < z < 2$, which is characterised by a Schechter function. Note that this determination includes both star-forming galaxies and active galaxies.

Another population statistic where theoretical models and (to some extent) observations lead to steep number counts and an expectation of strong magnification bias is the neutral hydrogen galaxy mass function. The physical processes determining the neutral hydrogen distribution are arguably simpler than the physics of star formation and dust obscuration. The local HI mass function is well-described by a Schechter function (Zwaan et al. 2003), in agreement with the expectations from semi-analytic models (e.g. Baugh et al. 2004). At higher redshifts there are few empirical constraints, though Abdalla et al. (2010) used damped Lyman α system number densities and the evolving volume-averaged star formation history to determine the evolution of the break in the mass function, resulting in their preferred model, denoted model "C". The semi-analytic predictions of Lagos et al. (2011) evolve in the same sense as Abdalla's model "C" but the evolution is less pronounced. Therefore, in order to span the range of plausible predictions, this paper adopts the model "C" of Abdalla et al. (2010) and a conservative no-evolution model.

The formalism for calculating the differential magnification probability distribution $p(\mu, z)\mathrm{d}\mu$ for a magnification μ is summarised in Blain (1996), Perrotta et al. (2002) and Perrotta et al. (2003). Regardless of the lens population, the high magnification tail has the form $p(\mu, z) = a(z)\mu^{-3}$ for some function $a(z)$. For the purposes of this paper, "strong" lensing is taken to mean magnifications $\mu \geq 2$, in which this expression applies.

The form of $a(z)$ depends on the nature and evolving number density of lenses. Both Blain (1996) and Perrotta et al. (2002, 2003) consider several options for galaxy lens populations. In the case of Perrotta et al. (2002, 2003), the mass spectrum follows the Sheth and Tormen (1999) formalism. There is good evidence for the galaxy lens population being well-described by singular isothermal ellipsoids (e.g. Gavazzi et al. 2007, 2008) as opposed to Navarro Frenk White (1997) profiles, so this paper assumes a singular isothermal sphere profiles for the Perrotta et al. lenses. For an alternative approach, one can assume the mass spectrum of lensing galaxies does not evolve with redshift (following e.g. the approach of model "B" of Blain 1996, though with a different cosmology), and normalise $a(z)$ to the prediction of Perrotta et al. (2002, 2003) at an arbitrary redshift of $z = 1$. These options should span the parameter space of plausible lens populations.

A more significant source of uncertainty in the lensing predictions is the maximum magnification imposed by the finite source sizes. This paper follows Perrotta et al. (2002) by spanning the range of plausible maximum magnifications with $\mu \leq 10$ and $\mu \leq 30$, as appropriate for source characteristic radii of $\sim 1 - 10h^{-1}\,\mathrm{kpc}$ (e.g. Wuyts et al. 2013). High magnification events are known in far-infrared and submm-selected lenses (e.g. Swinbank et al. 2010), but this paper conservatively neglects this extreme population. The surface density of strong gravitational lenses increases with the maximum magnification; the choice in this paper is more conservative than that of e.g. Blain (1996) who used $\mu \leq 40$, and Lima et al. (2010) who used $\mu \leq 100$.

3. RESULTS

Fig. 1 shows the effect of applying the strong lensing formalism discussed above to the Geach et al. (2010) Hα luminosity function. It is clear that at apparent luminosities $> 10^{44}\,\mathrm{ergs\,s^{-1}}$, the observed population is entirely dominated by strong gravitational lens systems, regardless of the maximum magnification or the nature of the lensing population. A redshift-dependent threshold based on the shape of the evolving unlensed luminosity function would yield a larger sample of lensed galaxies. This paper adopts $> 12L_*$ as a conservative fiducial limit for selecting a sample of strongly lensed Hα emission line galaxies. These samples have $\sim 97 - 99\%$ reliablility, i.e. the unlensed contaminants are $1 - 3\%$ of the total sample selected in this way. The fiducial Hα 5σ flux limit for the *Euclid* wide-area survey of $4.3 \times 10^{-16}\,\mathrm{ergs\,s^{-1}\,cm^{-2}}$ (Laureijs et al. 2011) is indicated as a vertical line in Fig. 1; the strong lensing systems will be detected at very high signal-to-noise.

Assuming a fiducial sky coverage of $15\,000\,\mathrm{deg}^2$ for the wide-area *Euclid* mission survey, the numbers of strongly-lensed galaxies with luminosities $> 12L_*$ range from 1079 using the no-evolution model and $\mu \leq 10$ to 2717 with the Perrotta et al. (2002, 2003) model and $\mu \leq 30$.

An even larger haul of lenses is possible with the SKA HI surveys. With the HI mass limit estimated by Abdalla et al. (2010) for the fiducial one-year dark energy survey with the full SKA, and assuming a survey area of $15\,000\,\mathrm{deg}^2$, the $> 12M_*$ limit yields of the order 10^4 strong gravitational lenses with $96 - 98\%$ reliability. The predictions range from 5800 lenses with the no-evolution lens population and $\mu \leq 10$ to 14 000 with the Perrotta et al. (2002, 2003) model and $\mu \leq 30$. The surface density of strong lenses is higher than the *Euclid* Hα case, because the mass function Schechter normalisation is $\sim 10\times$ higher than that that of the Hα Schechter function.

Model predictions of the evolution of the HI mass function tend to dismantle local high-mass systems into a larger number of lower-mass systems at high redshift. This moves the characteristic mass scale M_* to lower masses at high redshifts, and the normalisation ϕ_* to higher number densities. The effect on the lensing predictions is to greatly increase the strength of the magnification bias and the numbers of strong lensing systems. Figure 2 shows the predictions for the evolving mass function models. The SKA dark energy survey results in an astonishingly high surface density of lenses with $97 - 99\%$ reliability, of the order 10^5 in a $15\,000\,\mathrm{deg}^{-2}$ survey: predictions range from 7400 lenses with the unevolving lens population and $\mu \leq 10$, to 190 000 lenses with $\mu \leq 30$ and the Perotta models. The Lagos et al. (2011) models are

in fairly good agreement with the Abdalla et al. (2010) predictions at $z < 1$, but are discrepant at $z = 2$ (Fig. 2), though still following a Schechter shape. In the Lagos case, the $> 12M_*$ threshold will be brighter than the Abdalla model, with high-redshift lensing numbers intermediate between the Abdalla and no-evolution cases.

4. DISCUSSION

Are the Hα emission lines in the lensing systems detectable by *Euclid* against the glare of the foreground lens? A simple calculation shows that this is typically not likely to be a problem. The median H-band magnitude of the SLACS lenses is $H = 15.9$ (Auger et al. 2009), equivalent to a flux *density* of $4.7 \times 10^{-16}\,\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}\,\mu m^{-1}}$. At $z = 1.5$, the $> 12L_*$ selection is equivalent to a flux limit of $5.7{\times}10^{-18}\,\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$, approximately a factor of ten above the point source flux limit (Laureijs et al. 2011). With the reasonable assumption of an unresolved line at the expected resolving power of $\lambda/\Delta\lambda = 500$, the observed line flux *density* would be around four times the continuum level from the lens. This is conservatively assuming the lensing galaxy is not extended, which would dilute the flux density contribution from the lens. This is also assuming that the Hα emission is also unresolved. The 3D-HST project has found effective Hα radii at $z \sim 1$ of $\sim 2 - 4\,\mathrm{kpc}$ (Wuyts et al. 2013), equivalent to $0.25 - 0.5$ arcseconds, small compared to the NISP spectrometer pixel scale of 0.5 arcseconds. With angular magnification from lensing, we may expect the Hα emission to be typically no more than marginally resolved by *Euclid*, apart from e.g. quad lens configurations.

The high surface density of strong galaxy-galaxy gravitational lens events in the proposed one-year SKA weak lensing survey may have a significant effect on the shear statistics. The strongly lensed population is identifiable at high HI masses, but further work is needed to quantify the effect on the shear statistics of strongly lensed galaxies at lower HI masses. However, as a resource in themselves, the HI-selected strong lensing systems are potentially revolutionary. There are no obvious significant unlensed contaminant populations for the HI-selected samples, but dedicated lensing HI surveys with *SKA* pathfinders/precursors would identify any such populations.

Having prior knowledge of the background source redshifts partly solves one of the major practical problems with strong gravitational lens surveys: the multi-wavelength follow-ups. For example, most COSMOS gravitational lens systems still lack a secure measurement of the background redshift (e.g. Faure et al. 2011), including all three lens candidates from Jackson (2008). This problem is intrinsic to morphologically-selected samples of lensing systems and will be a major challenge for morphologically-selected strong lenses from *Euclid* and *WFIRST*.

The Hα and HI-selected systems will nonetheless need redshift estimation of the foreground lenses. The lensing optical depth is maximised approximately half-way between the source and observer, so lens redshifts will be typically in the range $z \simeq 0.5 - 1$. Optical and near-infrared ground-based photometric surveys such as the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope will be well-suited to obtaining photometric redshifts of massive galaxies at these redshifts. Spectroscopic absorption line lens redshifts are likely to require ~ 1 hour exposures on 4m-class optical telescopes (e.g. Bussmann et al. 2013, Amber et al. *in prep.*). For early-type lenses selected with *Euclid* and *WFIRST*, additional lens redshift estimation will be possible from the fundamental plane (e.g. Serjeant et al. 1995, Eisenhardt et al. 1996). The design of the Sloan Lens ACS Survey (SLACS) means it is inevitably dominated by early-type lenses (e.g. Auger et al. 2009), but it is not at all clear that early-type lenses are necessarily responsible for nearly all the lensing optical depth in the Universe. By exploiting magnification bias, the Hα and HI selection methods are identifying high magnification lines of sight, irrespective of the nature of the foreground lenses. Submm samples are similarly selected on magnification bias, and as well as early-type lenses they include several group lenses, cluster lenses and late-type galaxy lenses (e.g. Negrello et al. 2010, Bussmann et al. 2013, Negrello et al. 2014). The Hα and HI lensing samples will be ideal to examine the diversity in the origin of the lensing optical depth to the high redshift Universe.

Having a large sample of lensing systems will make a wide range of new science applications of lensing possible. Rare lens configurations will become available to study, such as extreme high magnification events probing the morphologies of background galaxies at $\sim 100\times$ improved angular resolution or more (conservatively excluded from our modelling in section 2), or rare "jackpot" or "double jackpot" lenses with multiple galaxies at different redshifts aligned along the line of sight (e.g. Gavazzi et al. 2008). The latter systems can yield very useful constraints on dark matter density profiles, by providing two or more lines of sight past the closest galaxy. They were also originally envisaged as a probe of cosmological parameters, but a generalisation of the mass-sheet degeneracy has been discovered (Schneider 2014) that will limit this application, unless additional modelling assumptions are invoked. Further statistical constraints on halo density profiles will come from the number counts and redshift distributions of lenses and sources (e.g. Short et al. 2012), and from the interface of strong and weak gravitational lensing (e.g. Gavazzi et al. 2007). Image flux ratio anomalies have also been recognised as being caused by halo substructure (e.g. Dalal & Kochanek 2002, Vegetti et al. 2012), so large samples of lens system will directly constrain semi-analytic models, particularly using the *SKA* lensing samples that are immune to the effect of dust obscuration in the foreground lenses. Large lens samples will also make it possible to investigate the stellar initial mass function as a function of galaxy types (e.g. Spiniello et al. 2011, Dutton et al. 2013).

The background sources will at best only be marginally resolved by their discovery observations with *Euclid*, *WFIRST* or *SKA*, but they will be among the brightest line emitters on the sky, so will be easily amenable to follow-up observations. The high angular resolution of $30 - 40$m-class telescopes will be very well suited to high surface brightness arcs. The Hα-emitters will be unchallenging targets in Hα for the Thirty Metre Telescope (TMT) or the European Extremely Large Telescope (E-ELT), and a 1-hour exposure with e.g. the METIS instrument on E-ELT will be sufficient to detect and resolve Paα in the Hα-selected sample. Scaling from local ultraluminous star-forming galaxies (Veilleux, Kim

& Sanders 1999, Farrah et al. 2013), many Hα-selected lensed galaxies will be easily detectable in far-infrared lines with the proposed SPICA space observatory (e.g. Roelfsema et al. 2012). Diagnostic line ratios may be subject to differential magnification effects (e.g. Serjeant 2012), but this may be corrected for in single systems or statistically in the population through source and lens modelling. Alternatively, differential magnification may be indirectly addressed through a comparison of emission line profiles with those of spatially resolved lines (e.g. Omont et al. 2013).

5. CONCLUSIONS

Euclid will be able to generate a sample of $\sim 10^3$ strong gravitational lens events with known background redshifts and $\sim 100\%$ reliability by selecting $> 12L_*$ Hα emitting galaxies at redshifts $z < 1.7$; the *SKA* will similarly be able to compile up to $\sim 10^5$ lensing events with $\sim 100\%$ reliability and known source redshifts by selecting $> 12M_*$ systems in an HI survey at $z < 2$.

The author thanks the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions, the Science and Technology Facilities Council for support under grant ST/J001597/1, and many colleagues in the *Euclid* Strong Lensing and Galaxy Evolution working groups for stimulating discussions.

Facilities: Euclid, SKA.

REFERENCES

Abdalla, F.B., Blake, C., Rawlings, S., 2010, MNRAS, 401, 743
Auger, M.W., et al., 2009, ApJ, 705, 1099
Baugh, C.M., Lacey, C.G., Frenk, C.S., Benson, A.J., Cole, S., Granato, G.L., Silva, L., Bressan, A., 2004, New A. Rev., 48, 1239
Blain, A.W., 1996, MNRAS, 283, 1340
Bussmann, R.S., et al., 2013, ApJ, 779, 25
Calzetti, D., et al., 2010, ApJ, 714, 1256
Condon, J.J., 1992, ARA&A, 30, 575
Dalal, N., Kochanek, C.S., 2002, ApJ, 572, 25
Dutton, A.A., Maccio, A.V., Mendel, J.T., Simard, L., 2013, MNRAS, 432, 2496
Eales, S.A., 2014, MNRAS submitted (arXiv:1312.1242)
Eales, S.A., et al., 2010, PASP, 122, 499
Eisenhardt, P.R., et al., 1996, ApJ, 461, 72
Farrah, D., et al., 2013, ApJ, 776, 38
Faure, C., et al., 2011, A&A, 529, A72
Flores, H., Hammer, F., Elbaz, D., Cesarsky, C.J., Liang, Y.C., Fadda, D., Gruel, N., 2004, A&A, 415, 885
Gavazzi, R., et al., 2007, ApJ, 667, 176
Gavazzi, R., et al., 2008, ApJ, 677, 1046
Gavazzi, R., Marshall, P.J., Treu, T., Sonnenfeld, A., 2014, ApJ, 785, 144
Geach, J.E., et al., 2010, MNRAS, 402, 1330
González-Nuevo, J., et al., 2012, ApJ, 749, 65
Jackson, N., 2008, MNRAS, 389, 1311
Lagos, C. Del P., Baugh, C.M., Lacey, C.G., Benson, A.J.; Kim, H.-S., Power, C., 2011, MNRAS 418, 1649
Lapi, A., et al., 2011, ApJ, 742, 24
Laureijs, R., et al., 2011, arXiv:1110.3193
Lima, M., Jain, B., Devlin, M., 2010, MNRAS, 406, 2352
Navarro, J.F., Frenk, C.S., White, S.D.M., 1997, ApJ, 490, 493
Negrello, M., et al., 2010, Science, 330, 800
Negrello, M., et al., 2014, MNRAS, 440, 1999
Omont, A., et al., 2013, A&A, 551, A115
Perrotta, F., Baccigalupi, C., Bartelmann, M., De Zotti, G., Granato, G.L., 2002, MNRAS, 329, 445
Perrotta, F., Magliocchetti, M., Baccigalupi, C., Bartelmann, M., De Zotti, G., Silva, L., Danese, L., 2003, MNRAS, 338, 623
Roelfsema, P., et al., 2012, SPIE, 8442, 0R
Schneider, P., 2014, preprint, arXiv:1406.6152
Sedgwick, C., et al., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 395
Serjeant, S., et al., 1995, MNRAS, 276, L31
Serjeant, S., Gruppioni, C., Oliver, S., 2002, MNRAS, 330, 621
Serjeant, S., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 2429
Sheth, R.K., Tormen, G., 1999, MNRAS, 308, 119
Short, J., et al., 2012, arXiv:1206.4919
Spergel, D., et al., 2013, preprint, arXiv:1305.5425
Spiniello, C., Koopmans, L.V.E., Trager, S.C., Czoske, O., Treu, T., 2011, MNRAS, 417, 3000
Swinbank, A.M., Smail, I., Chapman, S.C., Blain, A.W., Ivison, R.J., Keel, W.C., 2004, ApJ, 617, 64
Swinbank, A.M., et al., 2010, Nature, 464, 733
Treu, T., 2010, ARA&A, 48, 87
Vegetti, S., et al., 2012, Natur, 481, 341
Veilleux, S., Kim, D.-C., Sanders, D.B., 1999, ApJ, 522, 113
Viera, J.D., et al., 2013, Nature, 495, 344
Wang, Y., Turner, E.L., 1996, ApJ, 464, 114
Wuyts, S., et al., 2013, ApJ, 779, 135
Zwaan, M.A., et al., 2003, AJ, 125, 2842